AN AGENCY STATES

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

OFFICE OF PREVENTION, PESTICIDES, AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

Note to Reader August 7, 1998

Background: As part of its effort to involve the public in the implementation of the Food Quality Protection Act of 1996 (FQPA), which is designed to ensure that the United States continues to have the safest and most abundant food supply, EPA is undertaking an effort to open public dockets on the organophosphate pesticides. These dockets will make available to all interested parties documents that were developed as part of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's process for making reregistration eligibility decisions and tolerance reassessments consistent with FQPA. The dockets include preliminary health assessments and, where available, ecological risk assessments conducted by EPA, rebuttals or corrections to the risk assessments submitted by chemical registrants, and the Agency's response to the registrants' submissions.

The analyses contained in this docket are preliminary in nature and represent the information available to EPA at the time they were prepared. Additional information may have been submitted to EPA which has not yet been incorporated into these analyses, and registrants or others may be developing relevant information. It's common and appropriate that new information and analyses will be used to revise and refine the evaluations contained in these dockets to make them more comprehensive and realistic. The Agency cautions against premature conclusions based on these preliminary assessments and against any use of information contained in these documents out of their full context. Throughout this process, if unacceptable risks are identified, EPA will act to reduce or eliminate the risks.

There is a 60 day comment period in which the public and all interested parties are invited to submit comments on the information in this docket. Comments should directly relate to this organophosphate and to the information and issues

Recycled/Recyclable • Printed with Vegetable Oil Based Inks on 100% Recycled Paper (40% Postconsumer)

available in the information in this docket. Once the comment period closes, EPA will review all comments and revise the risk assessments, as necessary.

These preliminary risk assessments represent an early stage in the process by which EPA is evaluating the regulatory requirements applicable to existing pesticides. Through this opportunity for notice and comment, the Agency hopes to advance the openness and scientific soundness underpinning its decisions. This process is designed to assure that America continues to enjoy the safest and most abundant food supply. Through implementation of EPA's tolerance reassessment program under the Food Quality Protection Act, the food supply will become even safer. Leading health experts recommend that all people eat a wide variety of foods, including at least five servings of fruits and vegetables a day.

Note: This sheet is provided to help the reader understand how refined and developed the pesticide file is as of the date prepared, what if any changes have occurred recently, and what new information, if any, is expected to be included in the analysis before decisions are made. It is not meant to be a summary of all current information regarding the chemical. Rather, the sheet provides some context to better understand the substantive material in the docket (RED chapters, registrant rebuttals, Agency responses to rebuttals, etc.) for this pesticide.

Further, in some cases, differences may be noted between the RED chapters and the Agency's comprehensive reports on the hazard identification information and safety factors for all organophosphates. In these cases, information in the comprehensive reports is the most current and will, barring the submission of more data that the Agency finds useful, be used in the risk assessments.

Mack Housenger, Acting Director Special Review and Reregistration

Division



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460



RECEIVED

,111 29 1998

OPP PUBLIC DOCKET

OFFICE OF PREVENTION, PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

MEMORANDUM

MAR 13 1995

SUBJECT: OCCUPATIONAL AND RESIDENTIAL EXPOSURE ASSESSMENT AND

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE REREGISTRATION ELIGIBILITY

DECISION DOCUMENT FOR PHORATE

TO:

Michael Metzger, Acting Branch Chief

Risk Characterization and Analysis Branch

Health Effects Division (7509C)

FROM:

Olga Odiott, Biologist

THRU:

Francis Suhre, Acting Section Head

Reregistration Section II

Occupational and Residential Exposure Branch

Health Effects Division (7509C)

Larry C. Dorsey, Chief

Occupational and Residential Exposure Branch

Health Effects Division (7509C)

Please find the OREB review of Phorate.

DP Barcode:

D220555

Pesticide Chemical Codes:

057201

EPA Reg. Nos.:

34704-259, 9779-293, 241-259, 34704-712,

241-53, 2935-361

LUIS Report Date:

11/2/95

PHED:

Yes, Version 1.1

OCCUPATIONAL AND RESIDENTIAL EXPOSURE CHAPTER

In this document, which is for use in EPA's development of the phorate Reregistration Eligibility Decision Document (RED), EPA presents the results of its review of the potential human health effects of occupational and residential exposure to phorate. Included is a discussion of the adequacy of the occupational and residential exposure data that have been submitted in support of the reregistration of phorate.

(RED SECTION III - TOXICITY, EXPOSURE, AND RISK)

(EXPOSURE)

Occupational and Residential

An occupational and/or residential exposure assessment is required for an active ingredient if (1) certain toxicological criteria are triggered and (2) there is potential exposure to handlers (mixers, loaders, applicators, etc.) during use or to persons entering treated sites after application is complete. OR9500027 Lille.

Use Summary

Use Patterns

Phorate, O,O-dimethyl S-[(ethylthio)methyl] phosphorodithioate, is an organophosphate insecticide and nematicide formulated as a granular (6.5 to 20 percent ai) and as an emulsifiable concentrate manufacturing product (92 to 95 percent ai). 1,2 Phorate is used on non-domestic terrestrial and aquatic food/feed crops. 1,2 The LUIS report indicates that there is a greenhouse commercial nursery stock (both outdoor and greenhouse) use, however data from BEAD, the registrant and SRRD state that there are to be no greenhouse or indoor uses of phorate. Although the greenhouse/nursery uses are not included in this assessment, confirmation that there are no such uses registered is necessary. The fact that phorate is not currently marketed for greenhouse/nursery uses does not mean that such uses are not currently registered and could, therefore, be activated at any time.

Phorate can be applied by aircraft and ground equipment (soil band treatment, soil infurrow treatment, soil drill treatment, soil side dress treatment). The maximum application rates range from 1.3 to 3.9 lb ai/acre. Only one application per season is allowed for most of the uses. Two applications per season are allowed for irrigated cotton, sorghum, peanuts and sugarbeets. The interval between applications range from 1 to 2 months.

Occupational-use products and homeowner-use products

At this time products containing phorate are intended primarily for occupational uses and not for homeowner uses.

Acute Toxicity

The toxicological data base for phorate is adequate and will support reregistration. Guideline studies for acute toxicity indicate that the technical grade of phorate is classified as category I for acute oral toxicity, category I for acute dermal toxicity, and category I for acute inhalation toxicity.³ Eye irritation potential, and dermal irritation, and skin sensitizer toxicity studies were waived due to the high acute toxicity of phorate.³

Other Endpoints of Concern .

The Toxicity Endpoint Selection Document dated January 30, 1996, indicates that there are toxicological endpoints of concern for phorate.³ The toxicology endpoints and dose levels identified for short-term and intermediate-term, inhalation, and chronic term exposure assessments are a NOEL of 0.05 mg/kg/day based on RBC and brain cholinesterase inhibition (one year feeding study in dogs). Because no dermal absorption study is available, a 100 percent dermal absorption factor is used. Phorate has two metabolites of concern, phorate sulfoxide and phorate sulfone. Terrestrial field dissipation studies suggest that these metabolites may be somewhat more persistent than phorate.⁶ Although not likely an issue for handler exposures, the role of these metabolites in post application exposures is of concern.

Poisoning Incident Data

The following data bases were consulted for poisoning incident data on the active ingredient phorate: OPP Incident Data System (IDS); Poison Control Centers - (data received in response to 1993 Data-Call-In covering the years 1985 to 1992); California Department of Food and Agriculture (Replaced by Department of Pesticide Regulation 1991); and National Pesticide Telecommunication Network (NPTN).

IDS (as of 12/95) received 18 separate incident reports, most involving wildlife and ecological adverse effects. Poison Control Centers Data (1985 to 1992)) showed 109 cases of occupational and 82 cases of non-occupational exposure to phorate. California data (1982-1993) showed 22 cases of adverse reactions to phorate. NPTN (1985-1991) handled 116 calls on phorate involving 39 incidents (29 humans and 5 animals). A detailed discussion of these data is in OREB's review, *Phorate - A review of Pesticide Poisoning Incident Data* (V. Dobozy, 1/30/96).

Handler Exposures & Assumptions

EPA has determined that there are potential exposures to loaders, applicators, or other handlers during usual use-patterns associated with phorate. Based on the use patterns and potential exposures described above, four major exposure scenarios were identified for phorate: (1a) loading the granular formulation for aerial application; (1b) loading the granular formulation for ground applications; (2) applying the granular formulation with aerial equipment; (3) ground applications of the granular formulation; and, (4) flagging for the aerial application of the granular formulation. The minimum and maximum application rates (1.0 and 3.9 lb ai/A, respectively) were used in this assessment to represent the range of all crops. It should be noted that this handler exposure/risk assessment may not encompass worse-case for all crops. If applications to soybeans, peanuts, or potatoes may be made

aerially, the potential exposure to loaders and applicators would exceed that for aerial corn applications, since the rates per acre for those crops is double to triple that for corn.

Short-term and intermediate-term exposure assessments are presented in Table 1. Table 2 presents the corresponding risk assessment for short-term and intermediate-term exposures, while Table 3 summarizes the caveats and parameters specific to each exposure scenario and corresponding risk assessment. No chronic exposure scenarios were identified. The exposure assessments are based on PHED V1.1 data.

Table 1. Short-Term and Intermediate-Term Exposure of Phorate

Loader E 1.7 No data - see engineering controls No data - see engineering controls Su	Exposure Scenario (Scen. #)	Baseline Dermal Unit Exposure	Baseline Inhalation Unit Exposure ^b	Crop and Application	Daily Acres Treated ^d	Daily Dermal Exposure	Daily Inhalation Exposure ^f	Daily Total Exposure ⁸
1.7 Com = 1.0 500 2.4 0.9		(mg/lb ai)	(μg/lb ai)	Rate ^c (lb ai/acre)		(mg/day)	(mg/day)	(mg/day)
0.0048			Load	er Exposure				
No data - see	Granular Formulation for Aerial Application (1a)	0.0048	1.7	Com = 1.0	200	2.4	6.0	3.3
No daa - see	Granular Formulation for 6 & 8 Row	0.0048	1.7		Typical Ac	res Treated at Maxi	mum Rates	
Wheat = 1.0 69 0.33 0.12	Planters (1b)			H	69	1.32	0.47	1.79
Wheat = 1.0 69 0.33 0.12		•			100	1.92	0.68	2.60
No data - see				l u	69	0.33	0.12	0.45
No data - see		,		,	100	0.48	0.17	0.65
No data - see					Maximum A	cres Treated at Ma	ximum Rates	
No data - see				111	213	4.1	1.4	5.5
No data - see	•		-	Wheat = 1.0	213	1.0	0.36	1.36
No data - see engineering controls controls No data - see engineering controls No data - see engineering controls Sugarcane = 4.0 69 No data - see engineering controls Controls No data - see engineering controls Sugarcane = 4.0 69 No data - see engineering controls Controls Wheat = 1.0 69 No data - see engineering engineering controls		_	Applica	ator Exposure				-
no data - see	(C) simple of the contract of	No data - cee	No data - see	Com = 1.0	500	No data - see	No data - see	No data - see
No data - see engineering controls Sugarcane = 4.0 No data - see engineering controls Controls Wheat = 1.0 Sugarcane = 4.0 Wheat = 1.0 Sugarcane = 4.0 Wheat = 1.0 Sugarcane = 4.0 Wheat = 1.0 Wheat = 1.0	Aenai - Fixed-wing - enclosed cocapit (2)	engineering	engineering controls			engineering	engineering	engineering controls
controls controls Controls Wheat = 1.0 Sugarcane = 4.0 Sugarcane = 4.0 Sugarcane = 4.0 Controls Wheat = 1.0 Sugarcane = 4.0 Wheat = 1.0 Controls	/ G 0 d y = y = y =	No dette con	No data - cae		Typical Ac	res Treated at Max	imum Rates	
controls sugarcane = 4.0 69 No data - see No data - see controls c	Granular Formulation for 6 & 6 KOW	INO data - see	Tro trains					
100 No data - see Rontrols controls	Planters (3)	engineering controls	engineering controls	Sugarcane = 4.0	69	No data - see engineering controls	No data - see engineering controls	No data - see engineering controls
69 No data - see engineering controls controls controls 100 No data - see engineering controls controls 100 No data - see engineering controls Controls controls 213 No data - see No data - see engineering controls 213 No data - see No data - see engineering controls 213 No data - see No data - see engineering controls 213 No data - see engineering engineering controls				•	100	No data - see	No data - see	No data - see
69 No data - see engineering controls controls 100 No data - see No data - see engineering controls controls Maximum Acres Treated as Maximum Rate 213 No data - see engineering controls 213 Controls Controls Controls Controls					•	engineering controls	engineering controls	engineering controls
controls controls 100 No data - see No data - see engineering controls Controls controls Maximum Acres Treated as Maximum Rate 213 No data - see engineering controls controls controls 213 No data - see engineering controls controls controls controls controls				Wheat = 1.0	69	No data - see	No data - see	No data - see
100 No data - see No data - see engineering controls controls Maximum Acres Treated as Maximum Rate 213 No data - see engineering controls 213 No data - see engineering controls 213 No data - see engineering controls 213 Controls 213 Controls Controls Controls						engineering controls	engineering controls	engineering controls
Maximum Acres Treated as Maximum Rate 213 No data - see engineering controls				v	100	No data - see	No data - see	No data - see
Maximum Acres Treated as Maximum Rate 213 No data - see Rigineering controls controls 213 No data - see No data - see engineering controls controls controls controls						engineering controls	engineering controls	engineering controls
213 No data - see No data - see engineering controls controls 213 No data - see No data - see engineering controls controls					Maximum /	Acres Treated as Ma	ıximum Rate	
213 No data - see No data - see engineering controls controls			ı	Sugarcane =4.0	213	No data - see engineering	No data - see engineering	No data - see engineering controls
213 No data - see No data - see engineering engineering controls								
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Wheat = 1.0	213	No data - see engineering controls	No data - see engineering controls	No data - see engineering controls



Exposure Scenario (Scen. #)	Baseline Dermal	Baseline Inhalation	Crop and	Daily Acres	Daily Dermal	Daily Inhalation	Daily Total
	Unit Exposure	Unit Exposure	Application	Treated	Exposure	Exposure	Exposure
	(mg/lb ai)	(µg/lb ai)	Rate ^c (lb. ai/acre)	•	(mg/day)	(mg/day)	(mg/day)
Granular Applications (4)	0.00025	0.15	Corm = 1.0	200	1.25	0.08	1.33
Baseline dermal unit exposures represent long pants,	long	sleeve shirts, no gloves, open loading, enclosed cockpit for aenal application, open cab tractor.	open loading, enclosed	t cockpit for aerial	application, open ca	ad tractor.	

Baseline dermal unit exposures represent long pants, long sleeve snins, no gloves, open loading, enclosed coupin for action and Baseline inhalation unit exposure does not include the use of a respirator.

Application rate from phorate labels (EPA Reg. Nos. 34704-259 and 9779-293, 34704-712).

Acres treated are based on the Com Insecticide Cluster Risk Assessment, Nov/1993.

Daily Dermal Exposure (mg/day) = Dermal Unit Exposure (mg/lb ai) * Max. Appl. Rate (lb ai/acre) * Max. Treated (acres).

Daily Inhalation Exposure (ftg/day) = Inhalation Unit Exposure (mg/lb ai) * (1mg/4000ug) conversion * Max. treated

Daily Total Exposure (mg/day) = Daily Dermal Exposure + Daily Inhalation Exposure.

Phorate	
from	
Risk	
Tem	
Intermediate-	
and	
Short-Term	
able 2:	

Exposure Scenario (Number)	Стор	Baseline	Baseline		-		Risk Mitigat	Risk Mitigation Measures			, !
		Total Dose (mg/kg/dav)*	Dermal MOE ^b		Addi	Additional PPE			Enginee	Engineering Controls ⁴	
				Dermal	Inhalation Hoir	Daily Total	Total MOE	Dermal Unit	Inhalation Unit	Daily Total Dose	Total MOE ^b
				Exposure (mg/lb ai)	Exposure (ug/lb ai)	(mg/kg/day)*		Exposure (mg/lb ai)	Exposure (ug/lb ai)	(mg/kg/day)*	
					Loader Risk						
Granular Formulation for Aerial	Com	0.047	1	0.00155	0.425	0.01	5	0.0001	0.034	0.001	90
Granular Formulation for 6 and 8 Row					Typical /	Typical Acres Treated at Maximum Rates	imum Rates				
Planters (1b)	Sugarcane	0.03	2	0.00155	0.425	0.0078	9	0.0001	0.034	0.00041	122
		40.0	1	0.00155	0.425	0.011	5	0.0001	0.034	0.00077	65
	Wheat	9000	80	0.00155	0.425	0.002	25	0.0001	0.034	0.0001	500
		600.0	5	0.00155	0.425	0.003	17	0.0001	0.034	0.0002	250
			£		Maximum	Maximum Acres Treated at Maximum Rates'	ximum Rates				
	Sugarcane	0.08	0.63	0.00155	0.425	0.024	2	0.0001	0.034	0.0016	31
	Wheat	0.02	3	0.00155	0.425	9000	8	0.0001	0.034	0.0004	125
				Ιdγ	Applicator Risk						
Aerial, Bixed-wing - enclosed cocknit	Com	No data see	No data see	No data	No data	No data see	No data	0.0017	1.32	0.023	2
(2)	}	enginecring	engineering	see	see	engineering	. see				
		controls	controls	engineer- ing	engineer- ing	controls	engineer- ing				
				controls	controls		controls				
,			•		Typical /	Typical Acres Treated at Maximum Rates*	imum Rates				
Granular Formulation with 6 and 8 Row Planters (3)	Sugarcane	No data see engineering	No data see engineering	No data see	No data see	No data see engineering	No data see	0.0022	0.22	0.0095	\$
	•	controls	controls	engineer- ing controls	engineer- ing controls	controls	engineer- ing controls			0.014	4
	Wheat	No data see engineering	No data see engineering	No data see	No data see	No data see engineering	No data see	0.0022	0.22	0.0024	21
		controls	controls	engineer- ing controls	engineer- ing controls	controls	engineer- ing controls			0.0035	14

(1

Exposure Scenario (Number)	Crop	Baseline	Baseline			•	Risk Mitigati	Risk Mitigation Measures			
		Total Dose	Dermal		Addi	Additional PPE			Enginee	Engineering Controls	.
		(IIIB) NB (Iday)		Dermal Unit Exposure (mg/lb ai)	Inhalation Unit Exposure (ug/lb ai)	Daily Total Dose (mg/kg/day)*	Total MOE*	Dermal Unit Exposure (mg/lb ai)	Inhalation Unit Exposure (ug/lb ai)	Daily Total Dose (mg/kg/day)*	Total MOE
Granular Formulation with 6 & 8 Row Planters (3)					Maximum	Maximum Acres Treated at Maximum Rates'	aximum Rates ^r				
	Sugarcane	No data see engineering controls	No data see engineering controls	No data see engineer- ing controls	No data see engineer- ing controls	No data see engineering controls	No data see engineer- ing	0.0022	0.22	0.029	2
	Wheat	No data see engineering controls	No data see engineering controls	No data see engineer- ing controls	No data see engineer- ing controls	No data see engineering controls	No data see engineer- ing	0.0022	0.22	0.0074	7
					Hagger Risk						
Granular Applications (4)	. Com	0.019	3	0.00125	0:038	0.00	9	0.00005	0.003	0.00038	132

a Total dose = (daily dermal exposure + daily inhalation exposure)/70 kg.

b. MOE = NOEL (0.05 mg/kg/day)/daily dermal dose.

c Additional PPE is represented by double layer of clothing, chemical resistant gloves and dust/mist respirator.

d Engineering Controls is represented by closed system (i.e., lock'n load and enclosed cabs and cockpits); single layer clothing and no gloves.

e 69 acres/day and 100 acres/day, respectively - refer to Table 1.

f 213 acres/day - refer to Table 1.

6

Phorate
oę
Uses
õ
riptions 1
Desci
Scenario
Exposure
Table 3:

Exposure Scenario (Number)	Data Source	Standard Assumptions (8-hr work day)	Comments
		Loader Exposure	sure
Loading Granulars (1a and 1b)	PHED V1.1	For aerial application - 500 acres. For 6 and 8 row planters 69, 100, and 213 acres	Baseline: "Best Available" grades: Dermal and inhalation acceptable grades, hand exposure all grades. Dermal = 29 to 36 replicates; inhalation = 58 replicates; hand = 10 replicates. Low confidence in dermal data, high confidence in inhalation data.
	•		PPE: Dermal, inhalation and hand acceptable grades. Dermal = 29 to 36 replicates; inhalation = 58 replicates; hand = 45 replicates. Medium confidence in dermal data, high confidence in inhalation data.
			PHED data used for baseline, no protection factors (PFs) were necessary. For additional PPE, a 50% PF was used for the addition of coveralls. For engineering controls, a 98% PF was applied to the baseline for closed mixing.
		Applicator Exposure	OSUTE
Aerial-Fixed Wing enclosed cockpit Granular (2)	PHED VI.1	500 acres.	Baseline/Engineering Controls: "Best Available" grades: Dermal exposure grade C data; inhalation and hand exposure all grades. Dermal = 9 to 13 replicates; inhalation = 13 replicates; hand = 4 replicates. Low confidence in dermal and inhalation data.
			PHED data used for baseline/engineering, no PFs were necessary.
Granular 6 and 8 Row Planters (3)	PHED V1.1	69, 100, and 213 acres.	Engineering Control: "Best Available" grades: Dermal, inhalation and hand exposure acceptable grades. Dermal = 27 to 30 replicates; inhalation = 37 replicates; hands = 24 replicates. High confidence in dermal and inhalation data. PHED data used for engineering controls, no PFs were necessary.
		Flagger	
Flagging - Granulars (4)	PHED VI.1	500 acres.	Baseline: "Best Available" grades: Dermal, inhalation and hand exposure all grades. Dermal = 16 to 20 replicates; inhalation = 4 replicates; and, hand = 4 replicates. Low confidence in dermal and inhalation data.
٠			PHED data used for baseline, or 50% PF was applied to total deposition.

(

Post Application Exposures & Assumptions

EPA has determined that there is potential exposure to persons entering treated sites after application is complete. Postapplication exposures may occur to agricultural workers following applications to the crops identified in the use summary during routine cropproduction tasks. There are two chemical-specific studies available for phorate. Two soil residue studies were conducted on potatoes and peanuts. These studies show that residues of phorate and it's metabolites (phorate sulfoxide and phorate sulfone) are detectable in soil up to 93 days after the application. Unfortunately, there is no concurrent human exposure study to accompany these residue dissipation studies. From the residue data, it seems likely that there would be post-application exposure to workers, including harvesters and others exposed to the soil-subsurfaces, following applications of phorate to potatoes, peanuts and other crops. A summary of the studies follows.

Study 1: CL 35,024 (phorate/15G): Residues of CL 35,024, CL 18,177, and CL 18,161 in Soil from a Peanut Plot at-Harvest. MRID No. 416161-02.

The purpose of this study was to assess soil dissipation data at various depths for phorate applied to peanuts as Thimet 15G. This study was conducted at a peanut farm in Chula, GA. Thimet 15G was applied twice, once at planting (July 8, 1989) and once at pegging (August 23, 1989) 46 days later using a John Deere 71 Flexi-unit, a tractor and a Gandy assembly. The application rate was 1.0 lb ai/acre at planting and 2.0 lb ai/acre at pegging. Samples were only collected after the second application at soil depths of 0 to 6 inches, 6 to 12 inches and 12 to 18 inches. Samples were analyzed for phorate, phorate sulfoxide, and phorate sulfone residues. Samples were taken 0, 0.1 and 1, 19, 52, 72, 89, 90, and 93 days after the final application. The residues of phorate in soil were not detected on DAT 72. However for phorate sulfoxide residues were detected on DAT 93 (the last day tested) and phorate sulfone residues were detected up to DAT 89.

Study 2: CL 35,024 (phorate/20G): Residues of CL 35,024, CL 18,177, and, CL 18, 161 in Soil from a Potato Plot at Harvest. MRID No. 416161-03.

The purpose of this study was to assess soil dissipation data at various depths for phorate applied to potatoes as Thimet 20G. This study was conducted at a potato farm near American Falls, Idaho. Thimet 20G was applied once at planting (July 29, 1989) using a four-row curl potato planter attached with a John Deere 71 Flexi-unit. Phorate was applied at 3.1 lb ai/acre. Samples were only collected after the application at soil depths of 0 to 6 inches, 6 to 12 inches and 12 to 18 inches. Samples were analyzed for phorate, phorate sulfoxide, and phorate sulfone residues. Samples were taken 0, 0.1 and 20, 50, 70, and 90 days after the final application. Residues of phorate, phorate sulfoxide, and phorate sulfone were all detectable on DAT 90 (final sample date).

Occupational and Residential

Risk From Handler Exposures

The daily dose is calculated using the following formula:

Daily Dose
$$\left(\frac{mg}{Kg\ Day}\right) = Daily\ Exposure\left(\frac{mg}{Day}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{Body\ Weight\ (Kg)}\right)$$

These calculations of daily dose of phorate received by handlers are used to assess the risk to those handlers. The Short-Term and Intermediate-Term MOE was calculated using the following formula:

$$MOE = \frac{NOEL\left(\frac{mg}{kg\ day}\right)}{Daily\ Dose\left(\frac{mg}{kg\ day}\right)}$$

The calculations of risk indicate that the MOEs are less than 100 despite maximum mitigation measures (engineering controls) for short-term risk and intermediate-term risk for all but two of the exposure scenarios. The MOEs are equal or greater than 100 with engineering controls for short-term risk and intermediate-term risk for following scenarios:

- (1b) Loading the granular formulation into ground application equipment;
- (4) Flagging for aerial application of granular formulation (for corn only).

OREB REQUESTS A MEETING WITH THE REGISTRANT TO DISCUSS RISK MITIGATION OPTIONS FOR HANDLERS. UNDER THE PRESENT RISK ASSESSMENT PHORATE USES REPRESENT UNACCEPTABLE RISKS FOR HANDLERS.

Risk from Post Application:

OREB REQUESTS A MEETING WITH THE REGISTRANT TO DISCUSS RISK MITIGATION OPTIONS FOR POST-APPLICATION EXPOSURES. IF RISK MITIGATION MEASURES ALLOW THE APPLICATION OF PHORATE, THEN POST-APPLICATION EXPOSURES AND RISKS MUST BE ADDRESSED.

Data Requirements:

THIS DETERMINATION HAS BEEN POSTPONED PENDING THE OUTCOME OF THE HANDLER AND POST-APPLICATION RISK-MITIGATION DECISIONS.

References:

- 1) Phorate labels.
- 2) U.S. EPA 1995. LUIS report of Phorate dated 11/2/95
- 3) U.S. EPA 1996. Toxicology Endpoint Selection Document, dated 1/30/96.
- 4) CL 35,024 (phorate/15G): Residues of CL 35,024, CL 18,177, and CL 18,161 in Soil from a Peanut Plot at-Harvest, MRID No. 416161-02.
- 5) CL 35,024 (phorate/20G): Residues of CL 35,024, CL 18,177, and, CL 18, 161 in Soil from a Potato Plot at Harvest. MRID No. 416161-03.
- 6) U.S. EPA 1996. Phorate RED Candidate, EFGWB Science Chapter. Memo from John Hunt Jordan, Ph.D.
- 7) U.S. EPA 1996. Phorate Review of Pesticide Poisoning Incident Data. Memo from Virginia A. Dobozy, V.M.D.

